Identification of a Novel Set of Genes Regulated by a Unique LXRα-Mediated Transcription Mechanism

Leonard M. Anderson¹, Sung E. Choe², Rustam Y. Yukhananov³, Rob L. Hopfner¹ George M. Church², Richard E. Pratt¹, and Victor J. Dzau¹

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Research, Laboratory of Genetic Physiology, ²Department of Medicine, Division of Genetics ³Department of Anesthesiology, Neurogenomic Laboratory, Pain Research Center Brigham and Women's Hospital; and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 Correspondence should be addressed to R.E.P.; email: <u>rpratt@rics.bwh.harvard.edu</u>

Keywords; Receptor, Renin, Kidney, Transcription, Genomic

SUMMARY

We have reported previously that LXR α can mediate a novel cAMP dependent increase in renin and c-myc gene transcription by binding as a monomer to a unique regulatory element, termed the CNRE. To determine if this novel action of LXR α has global implications on gene regulation, we employed expression profiling to identify other genes regulated by this unique mechanism. Here we report the existence of a set of known and unknown transcripts regulated in parallel with renin. Querying the Celera Mouse Genome Assembly revealed that a majority of these genes contained the consensus CNRE. We have confirmed the functionality of these CNREs by competition for LXR α binding via EMSA assays, and by the use of CNRE decoy molecules documenting the abolishment of the cAMP-mediated gene induction. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the interaction between cAMP-activated LXR α and the CNRE enhancer element is responsible for widespread changes in gene expression and identify a set of LXR α /cAMP regulated genes that may have important biological implications.

INTRODUCTION

The transcription factor Liver X receptor-alpha (LXR α), a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, regulates the expression of genes involved in cholesterol homeostasis and bile acid synthesis (1,2). The best-known mechanism of LXR α mediated transcriptional activation occurs through interactions with compounds such as oxysterols (22-cho) or retinoic acid (9cRA) and results in heterodimerization to other transcription factors including RXR α , and PPAR γ (3). Transcription of target genes, such as the cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene, occurs through a classical DR4/LXRE (5'-GGTTTAAATAAGTTCA-3') response element (4).

The aspartyl protease renin is synthesized in the kidney and secreted into the plasma. It is the rate limiting enzyme in angiotensin biosynthesis, and thus, plays an important role in blood pressure and volume regulation. Renin gene expression and secretion is mediated, in part, by intracellular levels of cAMP in kidney juxtaglomerular (JG) cells (5). Previously, we have identified a cAMP responsive element, distinct from the classical cAMP responsive element, in the promoter region of the mouse renin gene and have termed this element CNRE (5'-*TACCTAACTTGGCTCACAGGCAGAATTTATC-3'*) (6). Homologues of this element, found at position -619 to -588 of the mouse *Ren-1^D* gene, have been found in the mouse *Ren-1^C* and *Ren-2* genes as well as in the rat and human renin genes (7). Furthermore, using a yeast one-hybrid screening approach, we demonstrated that LXR α bound to this sequence while functional studies using promoter/reporter gene chimeric constructs revealed that LXR α increased basal levels of renin expression and mediated the cAMP-dependent induction of mouse and human renin gene expression (8).

Interestingly, the previously reported LXR α ligands, such as 22-cho, had no effect on renin gene expression nor on expression of renin gene promoter/reporter gene chimeric constructs. Moreover, studies conducted with N-terminal deletion mutants of LXR α indicated that the binding mechanism and positive regulation of these genes through the CNRE element uniquely occurred as a monomer, in contrast to the action at the classical LXR α /DR4 element. Further studies revealed that the c-myc gene, which also contains the CNRE element, was similarly regulated (9). The discovery of LXR α as a regulator of renin gene expression by a previously unknown mechanism lead to the global question as to whether a set of genes exists that could be regulated in response to cAMP by LXR α . To address this question, we performed expression profiling of a mouse kidney juxtaglomerular

Running Title: LXRa Regulated Genes in As4.1 cells

cell line (8) stably transduced with green fluorescent protein (10) or murine LXR α plus GFP and treated with cAMP or vehicle.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mouse Kidney As4.1 Cell Culture and cAMP Stimulation.

The mouse renin-expressing cell line, As4.1 (ATCC CRL2193), were previously isolated from the kidneys of transgenic animals harboring a chimeric renin gene promoter/SV40 T antigen construct (11). Cells were cultured in high-glucose DME (Gibco Life Sciences) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and antibiotics at 37°c in 5% CO₂. Stable cell lines infected with a bicistronic retroviral construct expressing either GFP alone or GFP plus mouse LXR α were generated as previously described (12). For cAMP stimulation, cells were first made quiescent in DME containing 0.1% FCS for 12hrs. Fresh media was added containing DME + 0.1% FCS plus either vehicle (PBS) or 1mM 8-Br-cAMP and incubated for various lengths of time. Total cell RNA was harvested using the TRIZOL reagent and was assessed for quality by spectrophotometric and electrophoretic analysis.

Construction of the 19,064 Element Mouse cDNA Microarray

The cDNA microarrays generated in our laboratory consisted of 19,064 elements that included 2,432 cDNAs from three mouse libraries donated by colleagues at the Brigham and Women's Hospital (David Beier, neonatal kidney and brain library; CC Liew, nucleated erythroid cell library. Genes and ESTs were identified using sequence comparison of the mouse Unigene EST database. An additional 15,264 cDNA clones, derived from the NIA15k mouse developmental set, were spotted resulting in a total of 18,296 cDNA clones available for expression analysis (13). For use in the determination of non-specific hybridization, cDNAs corresponding to several bacterial genes were also spotted in various regions of the array for a total of 768 control elements, thus resulting in the generation of a 19,064 element cDNA array.

Amplified cDNA inserts were obtained from purified plasmid DNA templates, lambda-phage clones or directly from bacteria harboring plasmids containing cDNA inserts. Briefly, purified plasmids were amplified using T7 (5'-GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC-3') and T3 (5'-AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGG-3') or SP6 (5'-ATTAGGTGACACTATAG-3') primers with the cycling parameters of 94°c for 1min, 37°c for 1min, and 73°c for 1min 30sec for 40 cycles, with an additional extension cycle of 73°c for 8min. Libraries consisting of bacteria or phage received an initial incubation cycle of 94°c for 5min before entering the previously mentioned cycling

parameters. Amplified clones were analyzed for single-band product by agarose gel electrophoresis. PCR products were purified by ethanol precipitation in 96-well format as previously described (14). Purified inserts were spotted in linear format at 250µ center-to-center distance on CMT-GAPS (Corning, New York) slides using the GMS417 Arrayer (Affymetrix).

Probe Labeling and Hybridization

Total RNA (70µg) was used as template to generate fluorescently labeled cDNA probes by a single round of oligo dT primed reverse transcription in the presence of either Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP. First strand cDNA derived from RNA extracted from As4.1 cells that were transduced with the GFP retrovirus and treated with vehicle was used as reference cDNA in all experiments and was labeled with Cy3 while cDNA derived from RNA isolated from cells treated with cAMP and/or transduced with LXR α was labeled with Cy5. The labeled probes were purified using G50 spin columns (Pharmacia Biotech), combined and resuspended in a hybridization buffer containing 50% formamide and hybridized to the array in the presence of blocking DNAs (mouse cot-1 genomic DNA, oligo-dA, tRNA) for 16 hrs at 42°c under a coverslip. After hybridization, slides were washed in 1%SSC plus 0.1%SDS to remove the coverslip and remove non-hybridized probe and then sequentially in 0.5%SSC followed by 0.1%SSC. The slides were dried by centrifugation at 2000rpm for 2min and were immediately scanned and Cy3/Cy5 signal intensities were measured using a GMS418 Scanner (Affymetrix).

Microarray Data Collection and Analysis

The intensities Cy3 and Cy5 fluorescence of each spot were measured by overlaying a quantitation grid over the scanned image (ScanAlyze). Local background was subtracted from the overall spot intensity in both channels. Nonspecific cross-hybridization signal was also determined. Signals from each bacterial clone were measured, the values averaged and subtracted from the murine cDNA spots within that sub-array. Spots that did not meet a value > 1.5x background in either channel, at all experimental time points, were flagged or excluded. Calculated pixel-by-pixel correlation coefficients (*Ch1GTB2* and *Ch2GTB2*) for Cy3 and Cy5 fluorescent intensities in each spot was used to determine overall spot quality and spots which contained values of < 0.6 in both channels were also flagged or excluded.

For total array conditional comparisons, ratios (Cy5/Cy3) for each slide were calculated and to account for differences in overall hybridization and labeling efficiencies ratios were log₂ transformed and median centered. The resulting data was then normalized using a 'lowess-fit' intensity-dependent algorithm and subjected to significance filtering software, based on observed vs. expected values (15,16). All conditions were combined in one large dataset and hierarchical clustering, using Pearson centered correlative and complete linkage-clustering algorithms, were then employed to generate a tree containing gene expression clusters with similar temporal expression profiles in all conditions using GeneSpring Software, version 4.13 (SI Genetics). A subset of genes was then obtained which contained an expression profile similar to mouse renin for subsequent analysis.

Multiplex RT-PCR

A semi-quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was employed for validation as follows. Gene-specific primers were generated based on the known EST sequence. SpliceView and ExonPCR programs were utilized to generate primers which had a high probability of spanning an intron (17,18). Primers specific for 18s RNA (Forward 5'-CGG CTA CAT CCA AGG AA-3'; Reverse 5'-GCT GGA ATT ACC GCG GCT-3') were added as an internal positive control. Template RNA (500ng), gene-specific primers (20pM), 18s RNA primers (20pM), Oligo dT (500ng) were added to a RT-PCR kit (Amersham Pharmacia) and reactions were subjected to low-cycle PCR as follows; first-strand synthesis (1 cycle of 60°c for 30mins, 94°c for 2mins), amplification (18-25 cycles of 94°c 1min, 55°c 1min, 72°c 1min), extension cycle (1 cycle of 72°c 5min). Aliquots of the resultant products (5µl) were subjected to 2% agarose gel electrophoresis for further analysis. All RT-PCR experiments were conducted in triplicate using at least three separate RNA samples.

Double-stranded Decoy Experiments and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)

A stock solution (150µM) of complementary phosphorothiate-modified single stranded oligomers (Invitrogen) were incubated at 65°c for 10mins and allowed to anneal at 25°c for 2hours in annealing buffer (100mM Tris-HCL pH 7.5, 1M NaCl, 10mM EDTA in DEPC-treated water). For experiments, annealed oligomers were diluted to various concentrations using annealing buffer while excess stock was stored at -20°c for future use.

Assessment of decoy transduction efficiency was conducted as previously reported with some adjustments

(19). As4.1 cells were grown to 70% confluence and transduced with 5-100µM of FITC labeled double-stranded decoy oligonucleotides containing the following sequences from previously published data (20): CNRE decoy (sense 5'-*TAC CTA ACT TGG TCT CAC AGG CTA GAA TTT ATC*-3'), CRE decoy (sense 5'-*GCT TAC CCA CAG TCC CCC GTG ACG TCA CCC GGC*-3'), Scrambled DNA (5'-*GTC AGC TAG TGT TGA CAG GCC AGT TAG GTC TCG AG*-3') using oligofectamine reagent (Invitrogen). After 48 hours, detection of positively transduced cells was conducted by fluorescent microscopy using a conventional fluorescein detection filter. Untransduced cells and phase contrast microscopy were utilized as a control.

For assessment of decoy effect on target gene transcription, As 4.1 cells, containing either GFP or LXR α were transduced with 40mM of non-FITC labeled decoy as previously mentioned. After 24 hours, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) then grown in serum-reduced media (DME + 0.1% FBS) for 12hours. Next, fresh growth media was added containing 0.1% FBS (Gibco BRL) and either 10mM 8-Br-cAMP or PBS (vehicle), and allowed to incubate at 37°c in 5% CO₂ for a period of six hours. After treatment, total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) and an aliquot subjected to quality assessment by spectrophometric and agarose gel analysis.

EMSA assays were performed as described previously with some modifications (7). As4.1 cells were serum restricted and treated with 1mM 8-Br-cAMP or vehicle as previously described. Nuclear protein extracts were prepared using the NuclearPrep Kit (Pierce Biolabs) and protein concentration assessed by spectrophotometric assay. Nuclear extracts were then aliquoted and stored at -80°c for future use. For competition experiments, 10µg of extract from As4.1/LXR α was incubated with 1.57pM γ^{32} P-labeled probe containing the ren-1^d CNRE sequence (5²-CTA ACT TGG TCT CAC AGG CTA GAA-3²) and 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled probe containing the CNRE and flanking 6bp sequences from each identified gene. After incubation at 37°c for 30mins, the reaction was loaded onto a 6% polyacrylamide gel and electrophoresed in 1x Tris-Borate EDTA buffer for 2hours @ 200v. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried and exposed to autoradiography film overnight at -80°c. For antibody neutralization assays, the reaction was carried out as previously described, however after incubation with lysate reactions were further incubated with either anti-goat IgG (Santa-Cruz Biotech), anti-LXR α (Santa-Cruz Biotech), or gelshift buffer for an additional 15mins.

RESULTS

Global Gene Expression is Affected by LXR α in As4.1 JG Cell Cultures

The novel action of LXRα in the regulation of renin and c-myc genes leads us to ask if other genes are regulated in a similar manner. To address this, we initiated a cDNA-based transcript profiling study. A mouse cDNA microarray was generated which contained total of 19,064 spotted elements. Table1 indicates the results of querying the cDNA sequences against the Unigene database (build# 88) which revealed 6,446 (35.2%) cDNAs matched to known genes and 5,990 (32.7%) matched to other reported ESTs, resulting in a total 12,436 (67.9%) of previously reported sequences. An additional 5,910 (32.3%) were unable to be matched, and thus, are considered novel. Additionally, 768 (4.2%) bacterial control spots were distributed throughout the array as control elements for normalization.

Serum restricted mouse kidney juxtaglomerular cells (As4.1), stably transduced with either GFP plus mLXR α (As4.1/LXR α), or GFP (As4.1/GFP), were treated with either 8-Br-cAMP or PBS (vehicle) for 1-24 hours (1, 6, 12, or 24hrs). Total RNA was used as template to generate fluorescently labeled cDNA probes by a single round of oligo-dT primed reverse transcription in the presence of either Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP. Standard background subtraction and normalization protocols were then employed and clustering analysis was performed (Figure 1). These results indicate that the greatest amount of differential gene expression occurred in cells expressing LXR α , in the presence of cAMP, when compared to all other conditions, and thus, demonstrate our previous observations of LXR α as a cAMP responsive transcription factor.

Identification of LXR α Modulated Transcripts that Exhibit a Ren-1^d Expression Profile.

We next asked if there existed a subset of genes with an expression pattern similar to that observed for renin. Utilizing the temporal expression profile of mouse $Ren-1^d$, where expression peaks at 6 hrs (Figure 2A and 2B), to query the entire set of expressed genes with a correlation coefficient cutoff of ≥ 0.92 , a subset of genes were then obtained for subsequent cluster analysis (Figure 3A and 3B). Table 2 indicates a list of 41 genes that exhibit an increase in transcriptional activity, peaking at 6hrs. The gene descriptions in this table suggest that LXR α is able to modulate the expression of genes with diverse functional properties. These results indicate that, at 6 hours, LXR α +cAMP is able to induce the expression of these genes, with an observed range of induction of 3.45 to 51.7

fold, with 38 (92.6%) genes at a $p \le 0.05$, and a subset of 24(58.5%) genes at a $p \le 0.01$ when compared to all other conditions. The average fold induction (Figure 3D) in LXR α +cAMP treated cells, at the 6hr timepoint, was 8.38 fold higher when compared to all other conditions.

Identification of Genes that Contain an Upstream CNRE Element and RT-PCR Validation.

We next asked if these genes identified, by virtue of having an expression profile similar to renin, contained a CNRE element. The EST sequences corresponding to each of the 41 genes was compared to the Celera Mouse Genome Assembly to obtain the surrounding genomic sequence for each gene. Fifty kilobases (50Kb) of flanking sequence was queried for the presence of the consensus CNRE element [5'-TNN(T/G)TC(C/T)CA(C/G)AGG-3']. Since most the ESTs to be queried are not matched to known genes, and are thus considered novel, a 50Kb distance was utilized to ensure the probability of analyzing a promoter region. The 5' end of the EST sequence was used as an 'anchor' and all consensus CNRE hits are reported in terms of the distance to their respective anchors. The results of this search indicated 16 genes (Table 3) that contain a consensus CNRE within 50Kb of the anchor. The total number of genes could further be divided into groups that contain CNRE elements within a short (0-15kb; 7 genes), moderate (16-30kb; 9 genes), and long distance (31-50kb; 7 genes) from the anchor sequence.

RT-PCR assays were conducted to validate temporal expression profile results by using EST-specific primers generated with a high possibly of spanning an intron using splice prediction software (SpliceView and ExonPCR). An internal control set of primers, generated to amplify 18s RNA, was added to each reaction to account for initial template concentration and all amplification reactions were conducted under low-cycle number conditions. The results from these experiments (Figure 4) indicate 15 out of 16 of genes that contained a CNRE were validated by a marked increase in amplified product present in cells transduced with LXRα and treated with cAMP, when compared to cells treated with vehicle or cells transduced with GFP and/or treated cAMP for a period of six hours.

Effects of Oligonucleotide Decoy Molecules on cAMP Induced Gene Expression in Mouse As4.1Cells

To determine whether the temporal expression profile exhibited by the identified genes was the result of $LXR\alpha$ directly modulating transcription levels via binding a CNRE enhancer element within the promoter region, or

Running Title: LXRa Regulated Genes in As4.1 cells

through some other indirect mechanism, we employed a decoy strategy. Double-stranded decoy molecules that correspond to the CNRE element present in the mouse renin (Ren-1^d) promoter were generated to determine whether this decoy would be able to 'sequester' endogenous LXR α , and hence, suppress target gene inducibility. Control double stranded DNA representing decoy to the classical cAMP-response element (CRE) binding protein (CREB) enhancer sequence, and a scrambled DNA containing the CNRE nucleotides were utilized to assess specificity of the results. FITC-labeled decoy was used to analyze the transduction efficiency in As4.1 cells. Various concentrations (0-100 μ M) of DNA molecules were utilized in order to determine an optimal concentration that would yield high transduction efficiency, with low cytotoxicity. Efficiency was assessed by the percentage of positive nuclei under a fluorescent microscope after 24 hours. The results indicated 40 μ M to be the sufficient for 100% cellular transduction, with no visible cytotoxicity. Figure 5 indicates all three DNA molecules exhibited a similar efficiency when employed at 40 μ M. No visible fluorescence was observed in cells that were mock transduced.

As.4.1 cells, transduced with either LXR α or GFP were transduced with either the CNRE decoy, CRE decoy, or CNRE scrambled DNA. After a period of twenty four hours, all cells were serum restricted for twelve hours and then treated with vehicle or 8-Br-cAMP for six hours. Total RNA was harvested and RT-PCR was conducted using gene specific primers as mentioned before. RT-PCR assays conducted with mouse Ren-1^d, in the presence of CNRE decoy or control DNAs, indicate that the CNRE decoy is indeed able to inhibit LXR α -mediated induction of transcription (Figure 6A). However, no inhibition of Ren-1^d gene expression was observed when cells were treated with the classical CREB protein binding element (CRE) decoy or with a molecule containing the scrambled CNRE sequence. Results from RT-PCR experiments, using primers specific for genes which contained a CNRE element (Figure 6B) revealed that 11 out of 16 genes whose cAMP inducible expression was suppressed specifically by the CNRE decoy, suggesting that these genes are regulated by LXR α and cAMP through a ciselement CNRE binding sequence located in close proximity to the target gene.

Assessment of Direct Interaction of LXRa to Celera Identified CNRE Elements

We utilized electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) to determine if $LXR\alpha$ could directly interact with the identified CNRE elements present in genes affected by decoy molecules. Nuclear extracts were prepared from As4.1/LXR α or As4.1/GFP cells which were treated with 8-Br-cAMP for 6hours. Double-stranded EMSA probe(s) for each gene were generated which contained the Celera identified CNRE sequence, with an additional 6 nucleotides of flanking sequence, to assess direct LXR α interaction. Competition assays were conducted using a double-stranded ³²P-labeled oligomer probe, containing the CNRE element from mouse renin (*ren-1^d*) in the presence of 100-fold molar excess of each unlabeled probe containing the CNRE sequence present in each specific gene. Results in Figure 7A demonstrate that the CNRE elements present in 9 out of the 11 decoy affected genes queried were able to effectively compete the labeled renin CNRE probe for LXR α binding as evidenced by abolishment in signal. No competition was observed from the CNREs in the remaining 5 out of the total 16 genes assayed.

To determine if the competition observed was LXR α specific, we employed a LXR α specific antibody (P-19) to neutralize binding of CNRE/LXR α direct interactions. Labeled probes, containing either the cis-element CNRE sequence from *ren-1^d*, *Placental specific homeobox (psx-1)*, *or KIA0877* genes were incubated with As4.1/LXR α or As4.1/GFP nuclear extracts in the presence of anti-LXR α antibody. Figure 7B indicates a strong shift is observed in As4.1/LXR α control (-Ab, or + goat IgG) cell lysates with the *ren-1^d*, or *psx-1* probes when compared to the *KIAA0877* probe. However, in the presence of anti-LXR α antibody the CNRE/LXR α interaction is abolished from the *ren-1^d* probe. Likewise, reduced binding, but not complete abolishment, is also observed between the *psx-1*/CNRE probe. No LXR α shift was observed in control extracts (As4.1/GFP) or extracts incubated with KIA0877 probe and/or antibody.

DISCUSSION

Nuclear orphan receptor-mediated transcriptional regulation can be divided into two major sequences of cellular events. First, the inactivated nuclear receptor becomes activated by a conformational change, induced either by ligand binding or phosphorylation at key sites within the receptor (21). Second, activated nuclear receptor is then translocated to the nucleus to regulate target gene transcription either as a monomer or complexed with other transcription modulatory proteins (10). Previously, we identified LXR α as one of the key modulatory proteins that control the expression of renin in both cultured mouse kidney juxataglomerular (As4.1) (8), and human lung (Calu-6) cells (22), both of which were transduced with an LXR α , or GFP, expression vector. Specifically LXR α , in the presence of cAMP, upregulates renin gene expression by directly binding as a monomer to a unique cAMP/negative response element (CNRE) located in close proximity to the transcriptional start site. Furthermore, we also demonstrated the c-MYC was regulated in a similar manner. Taken together, the previous data suggested that cAMP-activated LXR α could transcriptionally regulate genes with various cellular functions and implies a more ubiquitous role of LXR α /CNRE-mediated gene regulation in cellular physiology. Indeed, the results of this current study strongly support this contention.

The clones spotted on the mouse 19k array were generated from various cDNA libraries that consisted of cDNA clones from mouse brain, kidney, and erythroid blood cell libraries. The functional distribution of known genes on the array correlated with the expected division of expressed protein functions involved in normal cellular physiology and indicates that, although the various clones were obtained from different sources, the cumulative clone set maintained a representative functional allocation with respect to cellular physiology (23). Moreover, since a moderate number of spotted clones were unable to be matched in the Unigene database, and thus are considered novel, our mouse array provides a useful gene discovery source with which to identify novel genes that are regulated by LXR α in the presence of small molecule activators such as cAMP. It is certain that since the human and mouse genomes are now sequenced, and the era of functional genomics is becoming fully realized, more of these novel genes will eventually become known and functionally annotated and will provide further information as to the role of LXR α in global gene regulation and the implications to cellular physiology (24-26).

Our previous reports demonstrate that in cells overexpressing LXR α , renin mRNA levels increase as early as one hour in response to cAMP that peaks at six hours (8). This expression 'signature' of mouse renin was also confirmed by our RT-PCR results in this study. To identify genes that are potentially regulated by activated LXR α , we queried the entire dataset of expressed genes for genes that exhibited an expression profile similar to that of renin. Some of the listed genes, such as *Hsc70*, *P450*, and *Ufd1* have been reported to be involved in the signal transduction pathway of nuclear receptor inactivation, and activation, respectively while the P450 family of genes has been reported to be transcriptionally regulated by various nuclear receptors (27-29). Another subgroup of genes from this list (*Psx-1*, *MM-1*, and *OxyR*) have been reported to be involved in modulating gene transcription by directly interacting with the promoter regions of other target genes (30-32). It should be noted that the intracellular levels of cAMP and LXR α transcript in these cells is markedly elevated and hence probably result in a non-physiologically high levels of activated LXR α . Thus some of the genes identified, although demonstrate a significant temporal change in transcript abundance *in vitro*, may not change in a temporal manner by conventional detection methods *in vivo*. It will be interesting to compare the changes observed *in vitro* under a more physiologically relevant condition, however, it is not within the scope of this communication.

We used a conservative algorithm to identify genes that contained a consensus CNRE binding sequence (33). This strategy would allow us to identify genes that contained this enhancer motif within a 50kb region upstream of the transcriptional start site since previous reports indicated the CNRE element as being located upstream of other identified genes. The results of the analysis for genes containing a CNRE enhancer element within this subset indicated yet a smaller subset of genes that contained a sequence that matched the consensus LXR α binding site. Not all of the genes exhibiting a profile of expression similar to that of renin contained a CNRE enhancer. Several possible explanations exist for this finding. One likely explanation is that we have not eliminated secondary effects of LXR α activation, i.e., the induction of expression of a transcription factor or factors by LXR α that then induces the expression of other genes secondary to the initial actions of LXR α . Indeed, inspection of the genes induced by LXR α or cAMP activated LXR α reveal several known or putative transcription factors. Furthermore, while we have searched the 50KB upstream of the EST with our best estimate of a consensus CNRE, it is possible that other sequences that deviate from the consensus sequence are functional but ignored in the bioinformatic screening process. Moreover, it is likely that LXR α binds and exerts action through other response elements distinct from the CNRE, such as the previously described DR4 sequence (34). However, it is important to note that while not all of the genes that possessed an expression profile similar to that of renin was shown to have a

CNRE in the 50KB region, of those that did, a vast majority (15/16) had profiles of expression that were verified by an independent assay (RT-PCR) to be similar to renin.

Although the majority of genes containing the CNRE exhibited reduced expression levels in the response to CNRE decoy administration, a few genes remained unaffected. Perhaps the CNRE sequence, found in the genes that were non-responsive to the decoy, might have a greater affinity for LXR α than the renin CNRE sequence used in the decoy molecules. Alternatively, although positive for the presence of the consensus CNRE sequence, these genes might be regulated by other transcriptional mechanisms described above such as the binding of cAMPactivated LXR α to a sequence distinct from the CNRE or the regulation of gene expression secondary to the induction of transcription factors by LXR α .

EMSA assays were performed to determine if LXR α was directly interacting with the identified ciselement CNRE sequences present in the genes. Since the majority of the CNRE elements present in the identified genes were able to effectively compete the previously described renin CNRE element in the majority of genes (9/11) for LXR α binding, this indicates that these genes are temporally regulated, in part, by the direct binding to LXR α to these cis-elements in As4.1 cells. Interestingly, the identified CNRE element(s) present in two genes (*RP11-*492E24 and *Mrp19*) were unable to compete for LXR α binding. One likely explanation could be that these particular genes are indirectly regulated by other genes which contain a functional CNRE element, and thus, directly regulated by LXR α . Other possible explanations could be that the sequences that flank these particular CNRE elements reduce the affinity to effectively compete the renin CNRE probe, or that the functional CNRE element lies outside of the queried region (50Kb). Whether this occurs under physiological conditions, with endogenous levels of LXR α remains to be determined.

The identification of a set of genes that are regulated by LXR α through the CNRE element suggests that this mechanism of cAMP-mediated induction may be a specific gene regulatory system when compared to the widespread effects of CRE-mediated induction. Indeed, LXR α has been reported to be a key mediator in the regulation of expression of genes that tend to maintain cellular or systemic physiological homeostasis (1). Moreover, these genes are regulated by LXR α through a specific DNA enhancer sequence (DR4/LXRE). Our data suggest LXR α as being a key mediator in the induction of other genes in mouse kidney cells though the CNRE element and indicate that modulation of transcription via CNRE is most likely a temporal and/or cell type specific mechanism of cAMP induction when compared to CRE mediated induction. The human renin gene also contains a CNRE in addition to a CRE element which indicates the need for a more detailed regulatory system in response to cAMP. It will be interesting to determine how these newly identified $LXR\alpha/CNRE$ regulated genes play a role in the overall cellular physiology in kidney cells in response to cAMP and the teleological importance of gene modulation exerted by this specific mechanism.

In summary, in this communication we have utilized a genomics approach, by querying a 19,064-element mouse cDNA microarray, generated in our lab, for potential cAMP-activated LXR α regulated genes. Our data, taken together with previous reports, indicate that LXR α can regulate the expression of different sets of genes using multiple mechanisms (i.e. heterodimerization at the DR4 element, monomer at the CNRE) to regulate different physiological functions. LXR α not only regulates cholesterol metabolism and bile acid production, but also renin expression, a major mediator of blood pressure homeostasis and kidney function. These data would suggest that LXR α is an important transcriptional regulator of the cardiovascular system and cellular physiology.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank our colleagues, Drs. David Beier, Genetics Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital and CC Liew, Cardiovascular Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, for providing cDNA clones for arraying (DB, brain and kidney libraries; CCL, nucleated erythroid cell library). We would also like to thank Dr. CC Liew and members of his laboratory for helpful discussion. These studies were supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (HL35610 and HL58516, VJD and HL61661, REP)

REFERENCES

- 1. Niesor, E. J., Flach, J., Lopes-Antoni, I., Perez, A., and Bentzen, C. L. (2001) *Curr Pharm Des* 7, 231-259
- 2. Peet, D. J., Janowski, B. A., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (1998) *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **8**, 571-575
- 3. Yoshikawa, T., Shimano, H., Amemiya-Kudo, M., Yahagi, N., Hasty, A. H., Matsuzaka, T., Okazaki, H., Tamura, Y., Iizuka, Y., Ohashi, K., Osuga, J., Harada, K., Gotoda, T., Kimura, S., Ishibashi, S., and Yamada, N. (2001) *Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 2991-3000
- Akiyama, T. E., Sakai, S., Lambert, G., Nicol, C. J., Matsusue, K., Pimprale, S., Lee, Y. H., Ricote, M., Glass, C. K., Brewer, H. B., Jr., and Gonzalez, F. J. (2002) *Mol Cell Biol* 22, 2607-2619
- Baxter, J. D., Duncan, K., Chu, W., James, M. N., Russell, R. B., Haidar, M. A., DeNoto, F. M., Hsueh, W., and Reudelhuber, T. L. (1991) *Recent Prog Horm Res* 47, 211-257; discussion 257-218
- 6. Burt, D. W., Nakamura, N., Kelley, P., and Dzau, V. J. (1989) *J Biol Chem* **264**, 7357-7362
- 7. Horiuchi, M., Nakamura, N., Tang, S. S., Barrett, G., and Dzau, V. J. (1991) *J Biol Chem* **266**, 16247-16254
- Tamura, K., Chen, Y. E., Horiuchi, M., Chen, Q., Daviet, L., Yang, Z., Lopez-Ilasaca, M., Mu, H., Pratt, R. E., and Dzau, V. J. (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97, 8513-8518
- 9. Hay, N., Takimoto, M., and Bishop, J. M. (1989) Genes Dev 3, 293-303
- 10. Hager, G. L., Lim, C. S., Elbi, C., and Baumann, C. T. (2000) *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 74, 249-254
- 11. Sigmund, C. D., Okuyama, K., Ingelfinger, J., Jones, C. A., Mullins, J. J., Kane, C., Kim, U., Wu, C. Z., Kenny, L., Rustum, Y., and et al. (1990) *J Biol Chem* **265**, 19916-19922
- 12. Yamada, T., Horiuchi, M., Morishita, R., Zhang, L., Pratt, R. E., and Dzau, V. J. (1995) *J Clin Invest* **96**, 1230-1237
- 13. Tanaka, T. S., Jaradat, S. A., Lim, M. K., Kargul, G. J., Wang, X., Grahovac, M. J., Pantano, S., Sano, Y., Piao, Y., Nagaraja, R., Doi, H., Wood, W. H., 3rd, Becker, K. G., and Ko, M. S. (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 9127-9132
- 14. Harrington, C. A., Rosenow, C., and Retief, J. (2000) Curr Opin Microbiol 3, 285-291
- 15. Dudoit, S., Yang, Y., Callow, M., and Speed, T. (2000) *Technical Report #578* (http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/terry/zarray/html/matt.html
- 16. Baldi, P., and Long, A. D. (2001) *Bioinformatics* 17, 509-519
- 17. Pertea, M., Lin, X., and Salzberg, S. L. (2001) *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**, 1185-1190
- 18. Xu, G., Sze, S. H., Liu, C. P., Pevzner, P. A., and Arnheim, N. (1998) *Genomics* **47**, 171-179
- 19. Morishita, R., Gibbons, G. H., Horiuchi, M., Ellison, K. E., Nakama, M., Zhang, L., Kaneda, Y., Ogihara, T., and Dzau, V. J. (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**, 5855-5859
- 20. Tomita, S., Tomita, N., Yamada, T., Zhang, L., Kaneda, Y., Morishita, R., Ogihara, T., Dzau, V. J., and Horiuchi, M. (1999) *Circ Res* 84, 1059-1066
- 21. McKenna, N. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (2002) Cell 108, 465-474

- 22. Tamura, K., Chen, Y., Lopez-Ilasaca, M., McEwan, P., Sales, V., Anderson, L., Horiuchi, M., Dzau, V., and Pratt, R. (2000) *Circulation* **18**, Suppliment **2**, 415
- Wiemann, S., Weil, B., Wellenreuther, R., Gassenhuber, J., Glassl, S., Ansorge, W., Bocher, M., Blocker, H., Bauersachs, S., Blum, H., Lauber, J., Dusterhoft, A., Beyer, A., Kohrer, K., Strack, N., Mewes, H. W., Ottenwalder, B., Obermaier, B., Tampe, J., Heubner, D., Wambutt, R., Korn, B., Klein, M., and Poustka, A. (2001) *Genome Res* 11, 422-435
- 24. Subramanian, G., Adams, M. D., Venter, J. C., and Broder, S. (2001) *Jama* **286**, 2296-2307
- 25. Hamilton, B. A., and Frankel, W. N. (2001) Cell 107, 13-16
- 26. Orphanides, G., and Reinberg, D. (2002) Cell 108, 439-451
- Briknarova, K., Takayama, S., Brive, L., Havert, M. L., Knee, D. A., Velasco, J., Homma, S., Cabezas, E., Stuart, J., Hoyt, D. W., Satterthwait, A. C., Llinas, M., Reed, J. C., and Ely, K. R. (2001) *Nat Struct Biol* 8, 349-352
- 28. Murray, G. I., Melvin, W. T., Greenlee, W. F., and Burke, M. D. (2001) *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **41**, 297-316
- 29. Johnson, E. S., Ma, P. C., Ota, I. M., and Varshavsky, A. (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270, 17442-17456
- 30. Takasaki, N., Rankin, T., and Dean, J. (2001) Mol Cell Biol 21, 8197-8202
- 31. Watanabe, K., Ozaki, T., Nakagawa, T., Miyazaki, K., Takahashi, M., Hosoda, M., Hayashi, S., Todo, S., and Nakagawara, A. (2002) *J Biol Chem* **277**, 15113-15123
- 32. Duh, J. L., Zhu, H., Shertzer, H. G., Nebert, D. W., and Puga, A. (1995) *J Biol Chem* **270**, 30499-30507
- 33. Davuluri, R. V., Grosse, I., and Zhang, M. Q. (2001) Nat Genet 29, 412-417
- 34. Luo, Y., and Tall, A. R. (2000) J Clin Invest 105, 513-520

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1 Hierarchical cluster analysis of differentially expressed genes in mouse As4.1 JG cells. As described in the results, As4.1/GFP or As4.1/LXR α cells were treated with 1mM8-Br-cAMP or vehicle (PBS) for 1, 6, 12, or 24 hours. Profiling was performed using a custom 19,064 element array produced in-house. The data was filtered so as to include only genes (11,528) which demonstrate differential expression in at least one condition. The results indicate that LXR α /cAMP has a dramatic effect on the profiles of expression in As4.1 juxtaglomerular cells.

Fig. 2 Mouse renin (Ren-1d) Expression in As4.1 cells in presence of LXR α and cAMP. As4.1 cells that were stably transduced with a retrovirus expressing either a bicistronic GFP/LXR α construct or GFP, were treated with 1mM 8-Br-cAMP or Vehicle (PBS) for the times indicated. Total RNA was isolated and used as template for RT-PCR amplification using primers specific for mouse renin. Results by either agarose gel analysis (**A**), or average luminosity signal intensity (**B**) indicate that renin is induced, with a maximal peak, at 6 hours poststimulation in LXR α transduced As4.1 cells when compared to control cells (As4.1/GFP + Vehicle).

Fig. 3 Hierarchical cluster of genes that exhibit a renin expression profile. Hierarchical clustering (**A**) of genes that exhibit a highly similar (correlation ≥ 0.92) temporal expression profile to mouse renin (**B** and **C**) were clustered using a Pearson centered correlation coefficient distance metric (separation ratio= 0.9; minimum distance=0.001). The results indicate the existence of groups of genes that tend to cluster separately from the full list.

Fig. 4 Multiplex RT-PCR validation of genes that contain a CNRE element. Total RNA was isolated from As4.1/GFP and As4.1/LXR α , which were treated with vehicle or 8-Br-cAMP for 6 hours. Multiplex RT-PCR amplification was performed using gene-specific and 18s RNA primers. Results indicate that 15 out of 16 genes analyzed display the expected increase in mRNA abundance in cAMP treated As4.1/LXR α cells. No significant difference was observed with 18s RNA signal

Fig. 5 Assessment of Decoy Transduction Efficiency in As4.1 Cells. Double-stranded FITC-labeled oligonucleotide (decoy), containing either CNRE, CRE, or scrambled DNA sequence, was transduced (40mM) into

As4.1 JG cells. After 48 hours, assessment of transduction efficiency was conducted using fluorescent and phase contrast microscopy. The number of positively transduced cells (fluorescent), transduced with CNRE, CRE, or scrambled DNA, was significantly higher than mock transduced cells (no-decoy). No significant difference was observed between cells transduced with CNRE, CRE, or scrambled decoy.

Fig. 6 Effects of decoy administration on LXRα regulated genes. As4.1/LXRα cells were transduced with the indicated double-stranded DNAs as described in the Methods section. Cells were then exposed to cAMP or vehicle for 6 hours and then harvested for RNA isolation. The isolated RNA was subjected to multiplex RT-PCR using primers for the indicated transcripts. **A**, The Ren-1d PCR product was greatly diminished in cells treated with the CNRE decoy but not in cells treated with the CRE decoy or with scrambled double-stranded DNA. **B**, 11 out of 16 transcripts were decreased following exposure of the cells with the CNRE decoy but not the CRE decoy or with scrambled double-stranded DNA.

Fig.7 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays of LXRα binding to novel CNRE enhancer elements present in identified genes. Nuclear extracts were prepared from cAMP stimulated As4.1/LXRα or As4.1/GFP cells and 10µg used to assess interaction of LXRα to novel CNRE elements by competition or direct binding assays. **A**, Effects of 100-fold molar excess unlabeled probe, containing the cis-element CNRE sequence with flanking sequences, to compete with a ³²P-labeled probe containing the CNRE sequence present in mouse renin promoter. The CNRE element(s) present in 9 of the 16 identified genes were able to effectively compete for binding (noted with an asterisk). **B**, Nuclear extracts were incubated with ³²P-labeled probe containing the CNRE element present in either mouse *ren-1^d*, or the newly identified *psx-1*, or *KIAA0877* genes. A strong shift is observed using the psx-1 probe which is comparable to the renin CNRE probe. No gelshift was observed from the *KIA0877* probe. Moreover, abolishment of gelshift was observed when using an anti-LXRα antibody when compared to controls (IgG or no antibody).





L.Anderson et.al._Fig2A

Renin product=823bp







TOP

L. Anderson et al._Figure 4



*(18S RNA Multiplex Control)



L. Anderson et al._Fig.5

TOP

	A											
	8-Br-c	AMP			-	+	+	+	+	1		
	No Decoy				+	+	-	-	-			
	Scramb	led	DNA		-		+	-	-			
	CREB/C	RE D	есоу		-	-	-	+	-			
	LXRa/C	NRE 1	Deco	У	.	-	-	-	+			
	Reni	n (re	en-10	d)								
		18S (Cont	rol	No.		-					
B												
Scramble	d DNA	-	+	-	-				+	-	-	
CREB/CRE	Decoy	-	-	+	-			-	-	+	-	
$lxr\alpha/cnf$	RE Decoy	-	-	-	+			-	-	-	+	
Ĩ	RP11-492E24		-			•	Cystatin	E	Birners 1	and the second	-	•
	S100A9			Normal Strength		+	Cdc	42	-	-	-	
	Mrpl9	-	-	-	B	+	Psx	-1	-	-	gentury -	•
	C4st		-	-	-		Fbln	-2	-	-	-	+
I	RP23-157010	-		-	Conception of the	•	0	20	-		-	
	<i>НҮР</i> к			-		-	my0:	58	-			
	RP21-425P5		_	_		+	scmh	-1	-	-		•
	KIAA0877	-	-	-	-		tetraspo NET-	an 7	-	-	-	•
	18S Control	-	-	-	-		RP11-120L	14	-	-	-	





ТОР

In House Clones		
Number of ESTs Matched to Known Genes	2,426	
Number of ESTs Matched to other ESTs in Unigene Database	460	
Number of ESTs Unmatched to other Libraries (Novel)	146	
NIA 15k Set		
Number of ESTs Matched to Known Genes	4,020	
Number of ESTs Matched to other ESTs in Unigene Database	5,530	
Number of ESTs Unmatched to other Libraries (Novel)	5,714	
Total number of spotted ESTs	18,296	
Number of Bacterial Controls	768	
Total Number of clones spotted on Mouse cDNA Array	19,064	

 Table 1: Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) Distribution of Spotted Clones on Mouse Array

 In House Clones

Sequences from the in house clones or from the NIA 15k clone set were blasted against the Unigene Database (Build#88) to generate a distribution based on match to known genes or other ESTs.

				Fold		
MouseArray-	Accession	Correlation		Increase at	T-test	
ID .	Number	Coefficient	Clone Description	6hrs	<i>p</i> -Value	Gene Function
Gene-7570	BG082494	0.982	Homo sapiens mRNA for Tom22, complete cds	9.621	4.99E-02	Matrix/Structural
Gene14280	N28198	0.971	Human cystatin E mRNA	6.542	1.19E-03	Signal Transduction
Gene11349	BG085590	0.967	Mus musculus ubiquitin fusion degradation 1 like (Ufd11), mRNA	5.785	1.60E-02	Protein Synthesis
Gene18778	BG088787	0.963	Homo sapiens mRNA for KIAA0877 protein, partial cds	7.944	6.68E-03	Undetermined
Gene11822	BG073218	0.963	Mus musculus placenta specific homeobox 1 (Psx1), mRNA	6.238	9.08E-03	Transcription/Chromatin
Gene16191	BG074242	0.962	Mus musculus similar to hypothetical protein FLJ22170	6.947	8.86E-03	Undetermined
Gene-5894	C88185	0.960	Homo sapiens mRNA for KIAA1335 protein, partial cds	7.059	7.38E-03	Undetermined
Gene14043	R75296	0.958	Cavia porcellus GEC-1 (gec-1) mRNA. 3'UTR	7.47	6.21E-03	Undetermined
Gene-6022	RG068093	0.957	Mus musculus similar to Ank repeat containing protein (Pfam)	6 071	7 29E-03	Matrix/Structural
Gene 14112	MDB1442R	0.957	Homo sapiens chromodomain helicase DNA hinding protein 4 (CHD4)	5 577	1 19E-02	Transcription/Chromatin
Gene-3122	RG065549	0.954	Mus domesticus strain MilP mitocondrion genome complete sequence	7.096	9.03E-03	Undetermined
Gene 18766	BG005517	0.957	Homo saniens mRN4: cDN4_DKF7n43440312 (from clone DKF7n43440312)	6.013	9.05E-03	Undetermined
Gene 11449	4W547303	0.953	Mus musculus ATP-hinding cassette transporter sub-family A member 7 (Abca7)	10 514	7.08E_03	Matrix/Structural
Gene 1144)	RC070400	0.048	Mus musculus long intringic mombrane protein 2 (Lim2)	0.464	6 25E 03	Matrix/Structural
<i>Gene-0040</i>	DC070409	0.940	mus musculus lens intrinsic memorane protein 2 (Lim2)	9.404	0.25E-05	Call Growth and
Gana-5776	BG067871	0.946	Mus musculus cuclin I (Ceni) gana	10 006	5 71E_02	Maintananca
Genel 1831	BG007871 BG085066	0.940	Mus musculus (bulin 2 (Ebln 2) mPNA	6.048	J.71E-02	Matrix/Structural
Genel1452	AE108357	0.945	Mus musculus flouin z (10112), mKivA Mus musculus a mya hinding protein MM 1 (Mm 1) mPNA	8 075	1.13E-02 5.73E-02	Undetermined
$C_{cma} = 1062$	PC077196	0.941	Mus musculus c-myc binding protein MM-1 (MM-1) mRNA	6.621	7.96E.02	Hogt Shock/Strong
Gene-1003	BG0//180 BC085672	0.939	Home services full length insert aDNA clone 7C48C12	6.051	21E 02	Indetermined
Gene11444	DG0650072	0.939	Mus museulus similar to hur of stight havin matrix mu(2)	6.140	0.21E-03	Undetermined
Gene-5020	BG005995	0.938	Mus musculus similar to hypothetical brain protein my058	0.149	1.12E-02	Coll Crowth and
Gana10507	BC072071	0.038	Mus musculus call division evals 12 homolog (S. correvisias) (Cdo12) mPNA	7 10	0 80E 03	Maintananaa
Come 1422	BC066540	0.938	Home ganiene aDNA: EL 121022 fg. along CAE00040	7.19	7.11E.02	Undetermined
Gene-4432	BG000340 BC085722	0.935	Mus musculus CDC10 gave promotor group L and joined CDS	1.400	/.IIE-03	Undetermined
Gener1309	DG003732 PC070696	0.934	Mus musculus CDC10 gene, promoter, exon 1 unu joineu CDS	4.390	1.00E-02	Matuix/Structural
Gene-6934	AV011162	0.934	Mus musculus F 450 (cylochrome) oxidoreductuse (For), mKINA Mouse DNA sequence from clone PD22 157010 on chromosome 11	\$ 242	1./0E-03 9.40E-02	Mairix/Siruciurai
Gene13982	AK011105	0.933	Mouse DNA sequence from clone Kr25-15/010 on chromosome 11	0.242	0.49E-03	Undetermined
Gene10838	DG0/2390	0.927	Homo suprens chromosome 2 clone KF11-492E24	2 9 5 9	2.14E-02	Undetermined
Gene11149	DG0/2028	0.920	Mus musculus semni mRNA for sex como on midleg nomolog protein, complete cas	5.656	2.0/E-02	Transcription/Chromatin
Gene1/304	DG0/314/	0.920	Homo supiens nypoinelical protein (CL25022), mRNA	4./42	1.4/E-02	Undelermined Matuia/Stanatural
Gene112/4	DG085528	0.920	Homo sapiens transmemorane 4 superjamity memoer (tetraspan INE1-7) (INE1-7), mRIVA	0.126	0.09E-05	Mairix/Siruciurai
Gene-3020	BG0/8/40	0.925	Mus musculus mitochonarial ribosomal protein L9 (Mrpi9)	9.130	0.49E-03	Simul Tunna dustian
Gene1134/	BG0/2801	0.924	Mus musculus 5100A9 gene for 5100A9 protein exons 1-5	4.41/	1.91E-02	Signal Transauction
Gene12909	AA1/02/0	0.924	EST(ms82e12.r1 Soares mouse 3NDMS clone 618094 5)	5.910	1.09E-02	Unaeterminea
Gene15540	BG086415	0.923	Homo sapiens Huntingtin interacting protein K (HYPK), mRNA	11.084	9.33E-03	Matrix/Structural
Gene14446	L02210	0.923	Mus musculus tyrosine kinase-related protein mRNA	12.632	3.51E-03	Signal Transduction
Gene14575	NM007663	0.923	Mus musculus cadherin 16 (Cdh16), mRNA	3.931	9.50E-02	Matrix/Structural
Gene-3506	<i>C77298</i>	0.922	Human DNA sequence from clone RP11-120L14 on chromosome 13	6.881	9.68E-03	Undetermined
Gene-3443	BG079106	0.921	Mus musculus Y-box binding protein (oxyR) mRNA, partial cds	5.145	1.03E-02	Transcription/Chromatin
Gene-7564	BG069383	0.921	Homo sapiens chromosome 16 clone RP11-25619	10.245	5.73E-03	Undetermined
Gene18927	BG088903	0.921	Homo sapiens fasciculation and elongation protein zeta 1 (zygin I) (FEZ1), mRNA	51.692	1.33E-03	Signal Transduction
Gene-839	BG077002	0.920	Homo sapiens mRNA for KIAA1398 protein, partial cds	6.623	1.10E-02	Undetermined
Gene-1812	BG064375	0.920	Mus musculus mRNA for chondroItin 4-sulfotransferase, complete cds	4.864	1.37E-02	Matrix/Structural

Table 2: Expressed Genes that Exhibit a Temporal Expression Profile Similar to Mouse Renin

The entire list of expressed genes (11,529) were queried using the temporal expression profile of mouse renin(Ren-1d) and resulted in the identification of 41 genes which display a profile similar to that of renin (correlation ³0.92). Gene function annotation is derived from GeneSpring and is based on Gene Ontology (GO) consortium classification.

Table 3: List of Genes with CeleraDatabase Identified CNRE Element

Massa	• • • • • • • •			Distance of	C		David and I david to ta
Array-ID	Number	No.	Clone Description	Element	Oreintation	CNRE Sequence	Renin CNRE
Gene14280	N28198	GA_x5J8B7W38UJ	Human cystatin E mRNA	-28771	Reverse	TTGGTCCCAGAGG	84.6
				38630	Reverse	TACTTCTCACAGG	76.9
Gene18778	BG088787	GA_x5J8B7W6RL5	Homo sapiens mRNA for KIAA0877 protein, partial cds	-11345	Reverse	TGGTTCCCACAGG	84.6
Gene-8648	BG070409	GA_x5J8B7W546B	Mus musculus, chromosome 16q, clone:RP21-425P5	-36976	Reverse	TCATTCCCAGAGG	69.2
Gene10507	BG072071	GA_x5J8B7W7N76	Mus musculus cell division cycle 42 homolog (S. cerevisiae) (Cdc42)	28741	Reverse	TGGGTCCCACAGG	84.6
				40179	Reverse	TGTGTCTCACAGG	84.6
Gene13982	AK011163	GA_x5J8B7W82RK	Mouse DNA sequence from clone RP23-157010 on chromosome 11	3063	Reverse	TTGGTCCCAGAGG	84.6
				-44145	Forward	TCTGTCCCACAGG	76.9
Gene10858	BG072396	GA_x5J8B7W6DHQ	Homo sapiens chromosome 2 clone RP11-492E24	-23689	Reverse	TCTTTCCCAGAGG	61.5
				17391	Reverse	TTTTTCCCACAGG	76.9
Gene-3020	BG078740	GA_x5J8B7W33D5	Mus musculus mitochondrial ribosomal protein L9 (Mrpl9)	-20256	Reverse	TGTTTCTCACAGG	76.9
Gene15540	BG086415	GA_x5J8B7W62CR	Homo sapiens Huntingtin interacting protein K (HYPK), mRNA	-567	Forward	TTTGTCCCAGAGG	76.9
				-14721	Forward	TCAGTCTCACAGG	84.6
Gene-1812	BG064375	GA_x5J8B7W8864	Mus musculus mRNA for chondroItin 4-sulfotransferase, complete cds	32786	Reverse	TGTTTCTCACAGG	76.9
Gene11347	BG072801	GA_x5J8B7W7N76	Mus musculus S100A9 gene for S100A9 protein exons 1-3	36903	Forward	TCCTTCTCAGAGG	69.2
				-27791	Forward	TTCTTCTCAGAGG	76.9
Gene11822	BG073218	GA_x5J8B7W4MNF	Mus musculus placenta specific homeobox 1 (Psx1), mRNA	-6291	Reverse	TGCTTCTCACAGG	76.9
Gene11831	BG085966	GA_x5J8B7W7FK3	Mus musculus fibulin 2 (Fbln2), mRNA	8609	Forward	TGTTTCTCACAGG	76.9
				16486	Reverse	TCTGTCTCAGAGG	76.9
Gene-3626	BG065995	GA_x5J8B7W4L8W	Mus musculus similar to hypothetical brain protein my038	-47118	Reverse	TGCTTCCCACAGG	69.2
Gene11149	BG072628	GA_x5J8B7W3HRA	Mus musculus scmh1 mRNA for sex comb on midleg homolog protein	-24838	Reverse	TCTGTCCCAGAGG	69.2
Gene11274	BG085528	GA_x5J8B7W4K2N	Homo sapiens transmembrane 4 superfamily member (tetraspan NET-7)	13456	Forward	TAAGTCTCAGAGG	76.9
				17076	Forward	TAATTCTCAGAGG	69.2
Gene-3506	C77298	GA_x5J8B7W3WK4	Human DNA sequence from clone RP11-120L14 on chromosome 13	22473	Forward	TGAGTCTCACAGG	84.6

Using the Celera database, fifty kilobases (50Kb) of flanking sequence was queried for the presence of the consensus CNRE element. Since most the ESTs to be queried are not matched to known genes, a 50Kb distance was utilized to ensure the probability of analyzing a promoter region. The upstream region of the 41 EST sequences were used as an 'anchor' and resulted in 10 genes that contained a consensus CNRE within a 50Kb upstream region. The total number of genes could further be divided into groups that contained CNRE elements within a short (2), moderate (4) and long distance (4) from the anchor sequence. Comparison of the CNRE sequences to mouse Ren-1d CNRE resulted in an identity range of 61.5-84.6%.